1.C. Endogenous Growth

Prerequisites for Endogenous Growth

Take the simplest neo-classical growth model and assume away the exogenous growth rates. Can we anyway generate sustained long run growth? Let the CRS production function be

$$Y = F(K, AL) \tag{20}$$

and capital accumulation

$$\dot{K} = sF(K, AL) \tag{21}$$

Now

$$\dot{Y} = F_K \dot{K} = F_K s Y$$

$$\Rightarrow g_V = F_K s$$
(22)

Now, what happens to g_y as time goes to infinity. The RHS of (22) is non-negative. As long as *s* is strictly positive, capital accumulation continues. So if *s* is kept positive F_K falls forever since F_{KK} <0. In other words, if *F* shows CRS in *K* and *AL*, then it shows DRS in *K* alone. If the Inada condition

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} F_K(K, AL) = 0 \tag{23}$$

then the economy thus is bound to approach zero growth due to (23) and the fact that *s* is finite.

With depreciation or population growth we need that the limit in (23) is large enough to account for depreciation and population growth.

What is the fix? We need to have a production function that shows CRS *in factors of production that are produced* (*i.e., that are accumable*). The most simple and straightforward way is to let the productivity index A depend on how much capital the economy has accumulated. We could think of this as a *Learning by doing* mechanism.

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 7

So in the simplest endogenous growth model we could assume that the level of knowledge, measured by *A* is given by

$$A_t = aK_t \tag{24}$$

Substituting into the production function we have

$$Y_t = F(K_t, aK_t L) \tag{25}$$

From the CRS assumption we have

$$F(K_t, aK_t L) = K_t F(1, aL)$$
(26)

The term F(1,aL) is constant so output is linear in *K* alone, i.e., shows CRS in *K* alone. In some of the already classic articles by Romer and others the constant was called *A*. Then we can write Y=AK, thus these models go under the name *AK*-models.

The basic ingredient in endogenous growth models is thus to construct a social production function that has CRS in produced factors of production. There are basically to lines of models that achieve this,

- 1. Knowledge or Human Capital in the production function. Knowledge and/or human capital is surely producable as you hopefully experience during this course. If then the production function has CRS in capital and human capital *together*.
- 2. Increasing specialization. Since Adam Smith we know that increasing market sizes permits increasing specialization. So as production increases the economy may be more and more efficient. This may be another source of endogenous growth.

Growth rate in the simplest learning by doing (AK) model

Let the constant F(1,aL) be called <u>A</u>. Then we have

$$Y = \underline{A}K$$

$$\dot{Y} = \underline{A}\dot{K}$$

$$\Rightarrow g_y = g_K$$
(27)

So the growth rate of the economy depends on the growth rate of capital which in turn depends on the net savings rate. With a given savings rate s

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 8

$$\dot{K} = sY = s\underline{A}K$$

$$g_{K} = s\underline{A} = g_{y}$$
(28)

In this simple model it is easy to endogenize the savings decision. With CRRA preferences

$$U = \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(s-t)} u(c_s) ds$$

$$u(c_s) = (1-\sigma)^{-1} c_s^{1-\sigma}$$
(29)

the Euler equation, which we can derive using Optimal Control, states that

$$g_c = \frac{u'}{-u''c} (r_t - \rho) = \frac{r_t - \rho}{\sigma}$$
(30)

where r_t is the net return on savings. If this equals the full marginal return on capital (why should it not?) – $r_t = A$ so

$$g_c = \frac{\underline{A} - \rho}{\sigma} \tag{31}$$

The only saving rate which is consistent with (31) and the transversality conditions is that the growth rate of consumption equals the growth rate of output. What would happen otherwise? So

$$g_{c} = g_{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\underline{A} - \rho}{\sigma} = s\underline{A}$$

$$s = \frac{\underline{A} - \rho}{A\sigma}$$
(32)

Note that this model implies very simple dynamics – the economy is always in its steady state growth path. Shifts in some parameters or a shock to the capital stock implies an immediate jump to the new steady state growth path. Can you explain why?

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 9

Aggregate versus Private Knowledge

In the previous example there was no distinction between aggregate and private knowledge. This meant that the learning by doing effect of accumulating capital was fully internalized in the savings decision by the individuals. This may, reasonably, not be the case. Take the opposite view instead. Assume that there are an infinite number of identical small firms indexed by the rational numbers i on a unit interval [0,1]. Now that the production function for the individual firm is given by

$$Y_t^i = F\left(K_t^i, AL_t^i\right) \tag{33}$$

The level of knowledge is proportional to the aggregate stock of capital and is identical to all firms.

$$A_t = a \int_0^1 K_t^i di = a K_t \tag{34}$$

Now the *aggregate* production function can be written

$$\int_{0}^{1} Y_{t}^{i} di \equiv Y_{t} = \int_{0}^{1} F\left(K_{t}^{i}, aK_{t}L_{t}^{i}\right) di = \int_{0}^{1} aK_{t}L_{t}^{i}F\left(\frac{K_{t}^{i}}{AK_{t}L_{t}^{i}}, 1\right) di = \underline{A}K_{t}$$
(35)

However, when a firm decides to increase its capital slightly, the effect that has on the aggregate capital stock and thus on the stock of knowledge is negligible. This since

$$\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial K_t^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial K_t^i} a \int_0^1 K_t^i di = 0$$
(36)

So the *private* return on capital is

$$F_K\left(K_t^i, aK_t L_t^i\right) \tag{37}$$

which is smaller than the social which equals

$$F_{K}\left(K_{t}^{i},aK_{t}L_{t}^{i}\right)+F_{L}\left(K_{t}^{i},aK_{t}L_{t}^{i}\right)aL=\underline{A}$$
(38)

Let, for example, the production function be

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 10

$$F(K_t, L_t) = K_t^{\alpha} (A_t L)^{1-\alpha} = K_t^{\alpha} (aK_t)^{1-\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$$

= $(aL)^{1-\alpha} K_t = \underline{A}K_t$ (39)

The private return to capital is in this case

$$\alpha K_t^{\alpha - 1} (A_t L)^{1 - a} = \alpha K_t^{\alpha - 1} (a K_t L)^{1 - a} = \alpha (a L)^{1 - a} = \alpha \underline{A}$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Since this is the interest rate faced by consumers the Euler equation for consumers with CRRA utility gives

$$g_{c} = \frac{r_{t} - \rho}{\sigma} = \frac{\alpha \underline{A} - \rho}{\sigma}$$

$$s_{t} = \frac{\alpha \underline{A} - \rho}{\underline{A}\sigma}$$
(41)

So we see that growth in this model is to low compared to the welfare maximum given by (31)

R&D

In the previous examples knowledge was produced as a by-product of capital accumulation. We can easily change that and introduce a specific sector where knowledge is produced. So let

$$\dot{A}_{t} = b(a_{L}L)^{\gamma} A_{t}$$

$$g_{A} = b(a_{L}L)^{\gamma}$$
(42)

where a_L is the share of labor that is allocated to knowledge accumulation. This sector could be thought of as an *R&D* sector or a schooling sector. The are some decreasing returns to scale in this sector if γ is smaller than unity. The production function for output and the capital accumulation is given by

$$Y_t = F(K_t, (1 - a_L)A_tL)$$

$$\dot{K}_t = sY_t$$
(43)

For now we assume that savings and the share of labor allocated to R&D is fixed and exogenous. Now lets define

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 11

$$k_t \equiv \frac{K_t}{(1 - a_L)A_t L}$$

$$f(k_t) \equiv F(k_t, 1) \equiv \frac{F(K_t, (1 - a_L)A_t L)}{(1 - a_L)A_t L}$$
(44)

So, as in the Solow model

$$\dot{k}_t = f\left(k_t\right) - g_A k_t \tag{45}$$

Now this reminds us about the Solow model and a steady state occurs when (45) is zero. Let us look at a plot of the components in the RHS of (45).



We see that a large R&D sector and thus a high growth rate of knowledge implies a lower steady state capital/effective labor ratio and vice versa. What would happen if we got a shift from a low to a higher share of labor in the R&D sector?

In this model the savings rate is unimportant for long run growth as in the Solow model. But, now growth is (almost) endogenous. To really explain growth we would like to let a_L be determined within the model. This is the next step in the development of this model. We would like to have some firms who do the R&D and hire labor for this. Obviously we

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 12

need to introduce some property rights for that purpose. Note that in such a model there is no reason to believe that growth is at its socially optimal level.

Growth from increasing specialization

Now let us turn to the other source of sustained growth – specialization. Let us think of an economy with two sectors. Final output which is produced using labor (with inelastic supply) and a range of intermediate goods. The latter are produced with capital only. The production function for the final good is

$$Y_{t} = L^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{t}} x_{i,t}^{1-\alpha}$$
(46)

where each $x_{i,t}$ represents the amount of a particular intermediate input that is used at time *t*. M_t is the total number of intermediate inputs in use at *t*. We are going to look at symmetric equilibria where all $x_{i,t}$ are equal and denoted x_t so

$$Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} x_t^{1-\alpha} = M_t x_t^{1-\alpha}$$
(47)

where we have normalized the labor supply to unity. The specification in (46) implies that there is positive returns to specialization. Consider the case when we double the number of inputs but use each in half the amount. Output is then

$$Y_{t} = 2M_{t} \left(\frac{x_{t}}{2}\right)^{1-\alpha} = 2^{\alpha} M_{t} x_{t}^{1-\alpha}$$
(48)

Now look at the intermediate goods production sector. Here capital is transformed into intermediate goods by M_t firms. There is a fixed cost of running a firm (paid in capital) so the capital requirement of firm *i* which produces $x_{i,t}$ can be written

$$k_{i,t} = h_0 + h_1 x_{i,t} \tag{49}$$

Now we assume that the final goods sector is competitive and that it buys intermediate goods so that its marginal product equals its price

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 13

$$\frac{\partial Y_t}{\partial x_{i,t}} = (1 - \alpha) x_{i,t}^{-\alpha} = p_{i,t}$$
(50)

This is the inverse demand faced by the intermediate goods producing firms so their profits are

$$\pi_{i,t} = p_{i,t} x_{i,t} - (h_0 + h_1 x_{i,t}) r_t$$

= $(1 - \alpha) x_{i,t}^{1-\alpha} - (h_0 + h_1 x_{i,t}) r_t$ (51)

where r_t is the user cost of capital (interest rate plus depreciation). From (51) follows that the profit maximum for intermediate goods producing firms is

$$(1-\alpha)^{2} x_{i,t}^{-\alpha} = h_{1} r_{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{t} = \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} x_{i,t}^{-\alpha}}{h_{1}} = \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} x_{t}^{-\alpha}}{h_{1}}$$
(52)

where the last equality comes from the assumption of a symmetric equilibrium. Now assume that there is no barriers to entry so profits in the intermediate goods sector are zero.

$$(1 - \alpha)x_t^{1 - \alpha} = (h_0 + h_1 x_t)r_t$$
(53)

Lastly, we require that the capital market is in equilibrium so that supply of capital (which is given at any point in time) equals its demand. This implies

$$M_t(h_0 + h_1 x_t) = K_t (54)$$

Substituting from (52) into (53) we get

$$(1-\alpha)x_t^{1-\alpha} = (h_0 + h_1 x_t) \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 x_t^{-\alpha}}{h_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_t = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{h_0}{h_1}$$
(55)

Lastly, substituting this into (54) we get

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 14

$$M = \frac{K_t \alpha}{h_0} \tag{56}$$

Substituting these results into the production function for aggregate output we get

$$Y_t = M_t x_t^{1-\alpha} = K_t \frac{\alpha}{h_0} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{h_0}{h_1}\right)^{1-\alpha} \equiv AK_t$$
(57)

so we are back into the simple AK model. Now we just have to make some additional assumption about savings, for example that it is chosen optimally by individuals facing some interest rate, for example the one established at the capital market and given by (53) if there are no capital income taxes. That savings rate determines the growth rate of capital which from (57) is identical to the growth rate of output.

Lecture notes for Macro I, page 15